arXiv:1406.3679v1 [math.CO] 14 Jun 2014

Graphs characterized by the second distance eigenvalue

Rundan Xing, Bo Zhou*
Department of Mathematics, South China Normal University,
Guangzhou 510631, P.R. China

Abstract

We characterize all connected graphs with second distance eigenvalue less than -0.5858.

Keywords: distance, distance matrix, distance eigenvalues, forbidden subgraph, diameter

1 Introduction

We consider simple undirected graphs. Let G be a connected graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, the distance between vertices v_i and v_j in G, denoted by $d_G(v_i, v_j)$ or simply $d_{v_iv_j}$, is the length of a shortest path connecting them in G. The distance matrix of G is the $n \times n$ matrix $D(G) = (d_{v_iv_j})$. Since $D(G)$ is symmetric, the eigenvalues of $D(G)$ are all real numbers. The distance eigenvalues of G, denoted by $\lambda_1(G), \ldots, \lambda_n(G)$, are the eigenvalues of $D(G)$, arranged in non-increasing order. For $1 \leq k \leq n$, we call $\lambda_k(G)$ the kth distance eigenvalue of G.

The study of distance eigenvalues dates back to the classical work of Graham and Pollack [4], Edelberg et al. [2] and Graham and Lovász [3] in 1970s. Merris [8] studied the relations between the distance eigenvalues and the Laplacian eigenvalues of trees. The first distance eigenvalue has received much attention. Ruzieh and Powers [10] showed that the path P_n is the unique n-vertex connected graph with maximal first distance eigenvalue, while the complete graph K_n is the unique n-vertex connected graph with minimal first distance eigenvalue. Among others, Stevanović and Ilić [11] showed that the star S_n is the unique n-vertex tree with minimal first distance eigenvalue. The extremal graphs with maximal or minimal first distance eigenvalues may be found in, e.g., [1, 9, 12, 13, 15]. The last (least) distance eigenvalue has also received some attention, see [6, 14].

Let G_1 and G_2 be two vertex-disjoint graphs. $G_1 \cup G_2$ denotes the vertex-disjoint union of G_1 and G_2, and $G_1 \vee G_2$ denotes the graph obtained from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by joining each vertex of G_1 and each vertex of G_2 using an edge.

*Corresponding author. E-mail: zhoubo@scnu.edu.cn

In this paper, we characterize all connected graphs with second distance eigenvalue less than -0.5858. We prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a connected graph with at least two vertices. Then $\lambda_2(G) \in (-\infty, -0.5858)$ if and only if $G \cong K_n$ for some $n \geq 2$, or $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2})$ for some $n_1, n_2 \geq 1$, or $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup K_{n_3})$ for some $n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 1$, or $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup K_{n_3} \cup K_{n_4})$ for some n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 such that $1 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3 \leq n_4$ and one of the following items holds:*

(i) $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ and $1 \leq n_3 \leq 873$;

(ii) $n_1 = n_2 = 1$, $n_3 \geq 874$, and $r(-0.5858) < 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} r(\lambda) &= \lambda^4 - (n_3+n_4)\lambda^3 - (3n_3n_4 + 8n_3 + 8n_4 + 5)\lambda^2 \\ &\quad -(12n_3n_4 + 11n_3 + 11n_4 + 6)\lambda - (6n_3n_4 + 4n_3 + 4n_4 + 2); \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = 2$, and $n_3 = 2$, or $3 = n_3 \leq n_4 \leq 870$, or $4 = n_3 \leq n_4 \leq 14$, or $5 = n_3 \leq n_4 \leq 8$, or $n_3 = n_4 = 6$;

(iv) $n_1 = 1$, $n_2 = 3$, and $3 = n_3 \leq n_4 \leq 7$ or $n_3 = n_4 = 4$;

(v) $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 2$ and $2 \leq n_4 \leq 5$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix M, let $\mu_1(M), \ldots, \mu_n(M)$ be the eigenvalues of M, arranged in non-increasing order. Let A be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix, and B an $m \times m$ principal submatrix of A. The interlacing theorem [5, pp. 185–186] states that $\mu_{n-m+i}(A) \leq \mu_i(B) \leq \mu_i(A)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Let G be an n-vertex connected graph, and H an m-vertex induced subgraph of G, where $m \geq 2$. If H is connected and $d_H(u,v) = d_G(u,v)$ for all $\{u,v\} \subseteq V(H)$, then write $H \trianglelefteq G$. Obviously, if H is of diameter two, then $H \trianglelefteq G$. If $H \trianglelefteq G$, then $D(H)$ is a principal submatrix of $D(G)$, and thus from the interlacing theorem, $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(H)$ and $\lambda_n(G) \leq \lambda_m(H)$.

For integer $n \geq 1$, let nG be the vertex-disjoint union of n copies of graph G.

Let I_n be the $n \times n$ identity matrix, and $J_{m\times n}$ the $m \times n$ all-one matrix. For convenience, let $J_n = J_{n\times n}$ and $\mathbf{1}_n = J_{n\times 1}$.

Lemma 2.1. *For integers $n_1, n_2, n_3 \geq 1$, $\lambda_2(K_1 \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2})) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ and $\lambda_2(K_1 \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup K_{n_3})) \in (-1, -0.5858)$.*

Proof. Let $G_1 = K_1 \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2})$. We have, with respect to the partition $V(G_1) = V(K_1) \cup V(K_{n_1}) \cup V(K_{n_2})$, that

$$D(G_1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{1}_{n_1}^\top & \mathbf{1}_{n_2}^\top \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_1} & J_{n_1} - I_{n_1} & 2J_{n_1\times n_2} \\ \mathbf{1}_{n_2} & 2J_{n_2\times n_1} & J_{n_2} - I_{n_2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then the characteristic polynomial of $D(G_1)$ is

$$\det(\lambda I_{n_1+n_2+1} - D(G_1)) = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & -\mathbf{1}_{n_1}^\top & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^\top \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n_1} & (\lambda+1)I_{n_1} - J_{n_1} & -2J_{n_1\times n_2} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n_2} & -2J_{n_2\times n_1} & (\lambda+1)I_{n_2} - J_{n_2} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (\lambda+1)^{n_1+n_2-2}\begin{vmatrix} \lambda+n_2+1 & -(\lambda+n_1+1) & 0 \\ -n_2 & \lambda-n_1 & -\lambda \\ -n_2 & 0 & \lambda+1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda+1)^{n_1+n_2-2}f(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f(\lambda) = \lambda^3 - (n_1+n_2-2)\lambda^2 - (3n_1n_2+2n_1+2n_2-1)\lambda - 2n_1n_2 - n_1 - n_2.$$

Obviously, $K_1 \vee 2K_1 \cong P_3 \trianglelefteq G_1$, implying that $\lambda_2(G_1) \geq \lambda_2(P_3) = 1-\sqrt{3} > -1$, and thus $\lambda_2(G_1)$ is the second largest root of the equation $f(\lambda) = 0$. By direct check, we have $f(-1) = n_1n_2 > 0$ and $f(-0.5858) = -0.2426n_1n_2 - 0.1716(n_1+n_2) - 0.1005 < 0$, and thus $\lambda_2(G_1) \in (-1, -0.5858)$.

Now let $G_2 = K_1 \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup K_{n_3})$ and $n = n_1+n_2+n_3+1$. The characteristic polynomial of $D(G_2)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} &\det(\lambda I_n - D(G_2)) \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & -\mathbf{1}_{n_1}^\top & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^\top & -\mathbf{1}_{n_3}^\top \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n_1} & (\lambda+1)I_{n_1} - J_{n_1} & -2J_{n_1\times n_2} & -2J_{n_1\times n_3} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n_2} & -2J_{n_2\times n_1} & (\lambda+1)I_{n_2} - J_{n_2} & -2J_{n_2\times n_3} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n_3} & -2J_{n_3\times n_1} & -2J_{n_3\times n_2} & (\lambda+1)I_{n_3} - J_{n_3} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda+1)^{n_1+n_2+n_3-3}\begin{vmatrix} \lambda+n_1+1 & \lambda+n_2+1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(\lambda+n_2+1) & \lambda+n_3+1 & 0 \\ -(\lambda-n_1) & -n_2 & -n_3 & \lambda \\ 0 & -n_2 & -n_3 & -(\lambda+1) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (\lambda+1)^{n-4}g(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda) &= \lambda^4 - (n_1+n_2+n_3-3)\lambda^3 - 3(n_1n_2+n_1n_3+n_2n_3+n_1+n_2+n_3-1)\lambda^2 \\ &\quad -[5(n_1n_2+n_1n_3+n_2n_3+n_1n_2n_3)+3(n_1+n_2+n_3)-1]\lambda \\ &\quad -3n_1n_2n_3 - 2(n_1n_2+n_1n_3+n_2n_3) - (n_1+n_2+n_3). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $K_1 \vee 3K_1 \cong S_4 \trianglelefteq G_2$, implying that $\lambda_2(G_2) \geq \lambda_2(S_4) = 2-\sqrt{7} > -1$ and $\lambda_n(G_2) \leq \lambda_4(S_4) = -2 < -1$, and thus $\lambda_2(G_2)$ and $\lambda_n(G_2)$ are the second largest and the least roots of the equation $g(\lambda) = 0$ respectively. By direct check, $g(-1) = 2n_1n_2n_3 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g(-0.5858) &= -0.0710n_1n_2n_3 - 0.1005(n_1n_2+n_1n_3+n_2n_3) \\ &\quad -0.0711(n_1+n_2+n_3) - 0.0416 \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\lambda_2(G_2) \in (-1, -0.5858)$. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 be positive integers with $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3 \leq n_4$. Then $\lambda_2(K_1 \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup K_{n_3} \cup K_{n_4})) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if one of the following items holds*:

(i) $n_1 = n_2 = 1$ *and* $1 \le n_3 \le 873$*;*
(ii) $n_1 = n_2 = 1$*,* $n_3 \ge 874$*, and* $r(-0.5858) < 0$*, where*

$$\begin{aligned} r(\lambda) &= \lambda^4 - (n_3+n_4)\lambda^3 - (3n_3n_4 + 8n_3 + 8n_4 + 5)\lambda^2 \\ &\quad -(12n_3n_4 + 11n_3 + 11n_4 + 6)\lambda - (6n_3n_4 + 4n_3 + 4n_4 + 2); \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $n_1 = 1$*,* $n_2 = 2$*, and* $n_3 = 2$*, or* $3 = n_3 \le n_4 \le 870$*, or* $4 = n_3 \le n_4 \le 14$*, or* $5 = n_3 \le n_4 \le 8$*, or* $n_3 = n_4 = 6$*;*
(iv) $n_1 = 1$*,* $n_2 = 3$*, and* $3 = n_3 \le n_4 \le 7$ *or* $n_3 = n_4 = 4$*;*
(v) $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 2$ *and* $2 \le n_4 \le 5$.

Proof. Let $G = K_1 \vee (K_{n_1} \cup K_{n_2} \cup K_{n_3} \cup K_{n_4})$ and $n = n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + 1$. The characteristic polynomial of $D(G)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(\lambda I_n - D(G)) \\ = & \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & -\mathbf{1}_{n_1}^\top & -\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^\top & -\mathbf{1}_{n_3}^\top & -\mathbf{1}_{n_4}^\top \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n_1} & (\lambda+1)I_{n_1} - J_{n_1} & -2J_{n_1\times n_2} & -2J_{n_1\times n_3} & -2J_{n_1\times n_4} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n_2} & -2J_{n_2\times n_1} & (\lambda+1)I_{n_2} - J_{n_2} & -2J_{n_2\times n_3} & -2J_{n_2\times n_4} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n_3} & -2J_{n_3\times n_1} & -2J_{n_3\times n_2} & (\lambda+1)I_{n_3} - J_{n_3} & -2J_{n_3\times n_4} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n_4} & -2J_{n_4\times n_1} & -2J_{n_4\times n_2} & -2J_{n_4\times n_3} & (\lambda+1)I_{n_4} - J_{n_4} \end{vmatrix} \\ = & (\lambda+1)^{n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4-4} \cdot \\ & \begin{vmatrix} -(\lambda+n_1+1) & \lambda+n_2+1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(\lambda+n_2+1) & \lambda+n_3+1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(\lambda+n_3+1) & \lambda+n_4+1 & 0 \\ \lambda-n_1 & -n_2 & -n_3 & -n_4 & \lambda \\ 0 & -n_2 & -n_3 & -n_4 & -(\lambda+1) \end{vmatrix} \\ = & (\lambda+1)^{n-5} h_{n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4}(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & h_{n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4}(\lambda) \\ = & \lambda^5 - (n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4-4)\lambda^4 - [3(n_1n_2 + n_1n_3 + n_1n_4 + n_2n_3 + n_2n_4 + n_3n_4) \\ & +4(n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4) - 6]\lambda^3 - [5(n_1n_2n_3 + n_1n_2n_4 + n_1n_3n_4 + n_2n_3n_4) \\ & +8(n_1n_2 + n_1n_3 + n_1n_4 + n_2n_3 + n_2n_4 + n_3n_4) + 6(n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4) - 4]\lambda^2 \\ & -[7n_1n_2n_3n_4 + 8(n_1n_2n_3 + n_1n_2n_4 + n_1n_3n_4 + n_2n_3n_4) \\ & +7(n_1n_2 + n_1n_3 + n_1n_4 + n_2n_3 + n_2n_4 + n_3n_4) + 4(n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4) - 1]\lambda \\ & -4n_1n_2n_3n_4 - 3(n_1n_2n_3 + n_1n_2n_4 + n_1n_3n_4 + n_2n_3n_4) \\ & -2(n_1n_2 + n_1n_3 + n_1n_4 + n_2n_3 + n_2n_4 + n_3n_4) - (n_1+n_2+n_3+n_4). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $K_1 \vee 4K_1 \cong S_5 \trianglelefteq G$, implying that $\lambda_2(G) \ge \lambda_2(S_5) = 3 - \sqrt{13} > -1$, and thus $\lambda_2(G)$ is the second largest root of the equation $h_{n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4}(\lambda) = 0$.

If $n_1 \ge 3$, then $K_1 \vee 4K_3 \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \ge \lambda_2(K_1 \vee 4K_3) = -0.5830 > -0.5858$. If $n_2 \ge 4$, then $K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup 3K_4) \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \ge \lambda_2(K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup 3K_4)) = -0.5855 > -0.5858$. To obtain the result, we need only to consider the cases when $n_1 \le 2$ and $n_2 \le 3$.

Case 1. $n_1 = 1$.
Case 1.1. $n_2 = 1$. If $n_4 = 1$, then $G = K_1 \vee 4K_1 \cong S_5$ with $\lambda_2(G) = 3 - \sqrt{13} \in (-1, -0.5858)$. Suppose that $n_4 \geq 2$. We have $h_{n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4}(\lambda) = h_{1,1,n_3,n_4}(\lambda) = (\lambda + 2)r_{1,1,n_3,n_4}(\lambda)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,1,n_3,n_4}(\lambda) &= \lambda^4 - (n_3+n_4)\lambda^3 - (3n_3n_4 + 8n_3 + 8n_4 + 5)\lambda^2 \\ &\quad -(12n_3n_4 + 11n_3 + 11n_4 + 6)\lambda - (6n_3n_4 + 4n_3 + 4n_4 + 2). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\det(\lambda I_n - D(G)) = (\lambda+1)^{n-5}(\lambda+2)r_{1,1,n_3,n_4}(\lambda)$. Recall that $\lambda_2(G) > -1$ is the second largest root of $h_{1,1,n_3,n_4}(\lambda) = 0$, and thus $\lambda_2(G)$ is also the second largest root of $r_{1,1,n_3,n_4}(\lambda) = 0$. Obviously, $K_1 \vee (3K_1 \cup K_2) \trianglelefteq G$, and then $\lambda_n(G) \leq \lambda_6(K_1 \vee (3K_1 \cup K_2)) = -2.6288 < -2$, implying that $\lambda_n(G)$ is the least root of $r_{1,1,n_3,n_4}(\lambda) = 0$. Note that $\lambda_1(G) > 0$ is the largest root of $r_{1,1,n_3,n_4}(\lambda) = 0$. Since $r_{1,1,n_3,n_4}(-1) = 3n_3n_4 > 0$, we have $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if $r_{1,1,n_3,n_4}(-0.5858) < 0$. If $1 \leq n_3 \leq 873$, then

$$\begin{aligned} r_{1,1,n_3,n_4}(-0.5858) &= (1.1508 \times 10^{-4} n_3 - 0.1005)n_4 - 0.1005n_3 - 0.0832 \\ &< -0.1005n_3 - 0.0832 \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if items (i) or (ii) holds.
Case 1.2. $n_2 = 2$. Then $n_4 \geq n_3 \geq 2$.

Suppose first that $n_3 = 2$. If $n_4 = 2$, then $G = K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup 3K_2)$ with $\lambda_2(G) = -0.5925 \in (-1, -0.5858)$. Assume that $n_4 \geq 3$. We have $h_{n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4}(\lambda) = h_{1,2,2,n_4}(\lambda) = (\lambda + 3)s_{1,2,2,n_4}(\lambda)$, where

$$s_{1,2,2,n_4}(\lambda) = \lambda^4 - (n_4+4)\lambda^3 - (16n_4 + 26)\lambda^2 - (38n_4 + 32)\lambda - (17n_4 + 11).$$

Then $\det(\lambda I_n - D(G)) = (\lambda+1)^{n-5}(\lambda+3)s_{1,2,2,n_4}(\lambda)$. Obviously, $K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup 2K_2 \cup K_3) \trianglelefteq G$, and then $\lambda_n(G) \leq \lambda_9(K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup 2K_2 \cup K_3)) = -3.6122 < -3$, which, together with the fact that $\lambda_2(G) > -1$, implies that $\lambda_2(G)$ and $\lambda_n(G)$ are the second largest and the least roots of $s_{1,2,2,n_4}(\lambda) = 0$ respectively. By direct check, $s_{1,2,2,n_4}(-1) = 6n_4 > 0$ and $s_{1,2,2,n_4}(-0.5858) = -0.0292n_4 - 0.2547 < 0$, implying that $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$.

Suppose that $n_3 = 3$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{n_1,n_2,n_3,n_4}(\lambda) &= h_{1,2,3,n_4}(\lambda) \\ &= \lambda^5 - (n_4+2)\lambda^4 - (22n_4 + 51)\lambda^3 - (109n_4 + 150)\lambda^2 \\ &\quad -(176n_4 + 148)\lambda - 70n_4 - 46. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\lambda_2(G)$ is the second largest root of $h_{1,2,3,n_4}(\lambda) = 0$. Since $n_4 \geq 3$, we have by direct check that $h_{1,2,3,n_4}(-3.9) = 32.1839n_4 - 89.9612 > 0$, $h_{1,2,3,n_4}(-3) = -10n_4 + 20 < 0$, $h_{1,2,3,n_4}(-1) = 18n_4 > 0$, and $h_{1,2,3,n_4}(0) = -70n_4 - 46 < 0$. Thus $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, 0)$, and $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if $h_{1,2,3,n_4}(-0.5858) = 9.5128 \times 10^{-4} n_4 - 0.8281 < 0$, i.e., $3 \leq n_4 \leq 870$.

Suppose that $n_3 = 4$. If $n_4 \geq 15$, then $K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_4 \cup K_{15}) \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_4 \cup K_{15})) = -0.58577 > -0.5858$. Hence, together with Tabel 1, we have $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if $4 \leq n_4 \leq 14$.

Table 1: The second distance eigenvalue of $K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_4 \cup K_{n_4})$ for $4 \leq n_4 \leq 14$.

n_4	4	5	6	7	8	9
λ_2	-0.5877	-0.5872	-0.5869	-0.5866	-0.5864	-0.5863
n_4	10	11	12	13	14	
λ_2	-0.5861	-0.58604	-0.58595	-0.58588	-0.58582	

Table 2: The second distance eigenvalue of $K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_5 \cup K_{n_4})$ for $5 \leq n_4 \leq 8$.

n_4	5	6	7	8
λ_2	-0.5867	-0.5864	-0.5861	-0.5859

Suppose that $n_3 = 5$. If $n_4 \geq 9$, then $K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_5 \cup K_9) \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_5 \cup K_9)) = -0.58576 > -0.5858$. Hence, together with Tabel 2, we have $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if $5 \leq n_4 \leq 8$.

Suppose finally that $n_3 \geq 6$. If $n_4 \geq 7$, then $K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_6 \cup K_7) \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_2 \cup K_6 \cup K_7)) = -0.58576 > -0.5858$. By direct check, $\lambda_2(K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_2 \cup 2K_6)) = -0.5860 \in (-1, -0.5858)$. Thus $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if $n_3 = n_4 = 6$.

From this case, we have $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if item (iii) holds.

Case 1.3. $n_2 = 3$.

Suppose first that $n_3 = 3$. If $n_4 \geq 8$, then $K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup 2K_3 \cup K_8) \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup 2K_3 \cup K_8)) = -0.58576 > -0.5858$. Hence, together with Table 3, we have $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if $3 \leq n_4 \leq 7$.

Table 3: The second distance eigenvalue of $K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup 2K_3 \cup K_{n_4})$ for $3 \leq n_4 \leq 7$.

n_4	3	4	5	6	7
λ_2	-0.5878	-0.5870	-0.5865	-0.5862	-0.5859

Now suppose that $n_3 \geq 4$. If $n_4 \geq 5$, then $K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_3 \cup K_4 \cup K_5) \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_3 \cup K_4 \cup K_5)) = -0.58575 > -0.5858$. By direct check, $\lambda_2(K_1 \vee (K_1 \cup K_3 \cup 2K_4)) = -0.5862 \in (-1, -0.5858)$. Thus $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if $n_3 = n_4 = 4$.

From this case, we have $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if item (iv) holds.

Case 2. $n_1 = 2$. If $n_3 \geq 3$, then $K_1 \vee (2K_2 \cup 2K_3) \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(K_1 \vee (2K_2 \cup 2K_3)) = \sqrt{2} - 2 > -0.5858$. If $n_4 \geq 6$, then $K_1 \vee (3K_2 \cup K_6) \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(K_1 \vee (3K_2 \cup K_6)) = -0.5856 > -0.5858$. Hence, together with Tabel 4, we have $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$ if and only if item (v) holds. □

Let C_n be the cycle on n vertices.

Table 4: The second distance eigenvalue of $K_1 \vee (3K_2 \cup K_{n_4})$ for $2 \leq n_4 \leq 5$.

n_4	2	3	4	5
λ_2	-0.5887	-0.5872	-0.5864	-0.5859

Let G be a graph. Let $|G| = |V(G)|$. For $e \in E(G)$, let $G - e$ be the graph obtained from G by deleting the edge e. Let $\overline{G}$ be the complement of G.

Lemma 2.3. *[7, p. 10] Let G be a graph with at least two vertices. If both G and $\overline{G}$ are connected, then G contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to P_4.*

Now we are ready to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If G is not complete, then $P_3 \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(P_3) = 1 - \sqrt{3} > -1$. For $n \geq 2$, $D(K_n) = J_n - I_n$, and thus $\lambda_2(K_n) = -1$. Thus $\lambda_2(G) \in (-\infty, -1]$ if and only if $G \cong K_n$ for some $n \geq 2$.

Suppose that G is a connected graph with $\lambda_2(G) \in (-1, -0.5858)$.

Suppose that $\overline{G}$ is connected. By Lemma 2.3, G contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to P_4. Then $P_4 \trianglelefteq G$ or $C_5 \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \min\{\lambda_2(P_4), \lambda_2(C_5)\} = \lambda_2(P_4) = -0.58579 > -0.5858$, a contradiction. It follows that $\overline{G}$ is disconnected. Let $H_1, \ldots, H_k$ be the (connected) components of $\overline{G}$, where $k \geq 2$. Let $G_i = \overline{H_i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq k$. Obviously, G_i is an induced subgraph of G for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $G \cong \vee_{i=1}^{k} G_i$.

Claim 1. If $|G_i| \geq 2$, then G_i is disconnected in G, where $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Suppose that $|G_i| \geq 2$ and G_i is a connected subgraph of G for some i with $1 \leq i \leq k$. Note that $H_i = \overline{G_i}$ is connected in $\overline{G}$. By Lemma 2.3, G_i contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to P_4. Since $k \geq 2$, we have $K_1 \vee P_4 \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(K_1 \vee P_4) = -0.3820 > -0.5858$, a contradiction. This proves Claim 1.

If $|G_i| \geq 2$ for each i with $1 \leq i \leq k$, then by Claim 1, G_i is disconnected in G, which, by noting that $k \geq 2$, implies that $2K_1 \vee 2K_1 \cong C_4 \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(C_4) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus, $|G_i| = 1$ for some i with $1 \leq i \leq k$, say $i = 1$.

Since $\lambda_2\left(\vee_{i=1}^{n} K_1\right) = \lambda_2(K_n) = -1 \notin (-1, -0.5858)$, we have $|G_j| \geq 2$ for some j with $2 \leq j \leq k$, say $j = 2$. By Claim 1, G_2 is disconnected in G. If $k \geq 3$, then $K_4 - e \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(K_4 - e) = \frac{3-\sqrt{17}}{2} > -0.5858$, a contradiction. It follows that $k = 2$, i.e., $G \cong G_1 \vee G_2 = K_1 \vee G_2$, where G_2 is a disconnected subgraph of G.

If G_2 has at least five components, then $K_1 \vee 5K_1 \cong S_6 \trianglelefteq G$, and thus $\lambda_2(G) \geq \lambda_2(S_6) = 4 - \sqrt{21} > -0.5858$, a contradiction. If G_2 has a component which is not complete, then there exists a vertex u in this component which has two nonadjacent neighbors v and w, and thus the subgraph of G induced by $V(G_1) \cup \{u, v, w\}$ is isomorphic to $K_4 - e$, implying that $K_4 - e \trianglelefteq G$, also a contradiction. Thus $G \cong K_1 \vee (K_{n_1} \cup \cdots \cup K_{n_r})$, where $2 \leq r \leq 4$ and $n_i \geq 1$ for each i with $1 \leq i \leq r$. Now the result follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. $\square$

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by the Specialized Research Fund for

the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (No. 20124407110002) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11071089).

References

[1] S.S. Bose, M. Nath, S. Paul, Distance spectral radius of graphs with r pendent vertices, Linear Algebra Appl. 435 (2011) 2828–2836.

[2] M. Edelberg, M.R. Garey, R.L. Graham, On the distance matrix of a tree, Discrete Math. 14 (1976) 23–39.

[3] R.L. Graham, L. Lovász, Distance matrix polynomials of trees, Adv. Math. 29 (1978) 60–88.

[4] R.L. Graham, H.O. Pollack, On the addressing problem for loop switching, Bell System Tech. J. 50 (1971) 2495–2519.

[5] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[6] H. Lin, B. Zhou, On least distance eigenvalues of trees, unicyclic graphs and bicyclic graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 443 (2014) 153–163.

[7] R. Merris, Graph Theory, Wiley–Interscience, New York, 2001.

[8] R. Merris, The distance spectrum of a tree, J. Graph Theory 14 (1990) 365–369.

[9] M. Nath, S. Paul, On the distance spectral radius of trees, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 61 (2013) 847–855.

[10] S.N. Ruzieh, D.L. Powers, The distance spectrum of the path P_n and the first distance eigenvector of connected graphs, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 28 (1990) 75–81.

[11] D. Stevanović, A. Ilić, Distance spectral radius of trees with fixed maximal degree, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 20 (2010) 168–179.

[12] Y. Wang, B. Zhou, On distance spectral radius of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013) 3490–3503.

[13] R. Xing, B. Zhou, F. Dong, The effect of a graft transformation on distance spectral radius, Linear Algebra Appl. 457 (2014) 261–275.

[14] G. Yu, On the least distance eigenvalue of a graph, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013) 2428–2433.

[15] G. Yu, Y. Wu, Y. Zhang, J. Shu, Some graft transformations and its application on a distance spectrum, Discrete Math. 311 (2011) 2117–2123.